DIF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
-----X
KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER** 

06-CV-3042 (NGG) (VVP)

ROJAS DELI GROCERY, INC., d/b/a Rojas Deli and Grocery, and MAXIMINO ROJAS, Individually and as an officer, director, and/or shareholder of Rojas Deli Grocery, Inc.,

	Defendants.
	X
GARAUFIS,	United States District Judge.

On September 18, 2007, Magistrate Judge Viktor V. Pohorelsky issued a Report and Recommendation (R&R) recommending that Plaintiff be: (1) awarded \$1,500 in statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II); (2) denied damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c); and, (3) awarded \$385 in attorney's fees and costs. No party has filed objections to the R&R.

In reviewing an R&R, this court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). In order to accept a Magistrate Judge's R&R where no timely objection has been made, the "court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." <u>Urena v. New York</u>, 160 F. Supp.2d 606, 609-10 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (quoting <u>Nelson v. Smith</u>, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985)); <u>see also Pizarro v. Bartlett</u>, 776 F. Supp. 815, 817 (S.D.N.Y. 1991) (court may accept report if it is "not facially erroneous").

The R&R is comprehensive and extremely well-reasoned. The court finds no clear error in the R&R and therefore adopts the R&R for the reasons stated therein. Plaintiff's motion for

damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and attorney's fees is hereby GRANTED.

Plaintiff's motion for damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c) is hereby DENIED. The clerk of

the court is directed to close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 3, 2007

Brooklyn, N.Y.

S/

NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS
United States District Judge

2